ICDP workshop on the Deep Drilling in the Turkana Basin project: exploring the link between environmental factors and hominin evolution over the past 4 Myr
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Abstract. Scientific drill cores provide unique windows into the processes of the past and present. In the dynamic tectonic, environmental, climatic, and ecological setting that is eastern Africa, records recovered through scientific drilling enable us to look at change through time in unprecedented ways. Cores from the East African Rift System can provide valuable information about the context in which hominins have evolved in one of the key regions of hominin evolution over the past 4 Myr. The Deep Drilling in the Turkana Basin (DDTB) project seeks to explore the impact of several types of evolution (tectonic, climatic, biological) on ecosystems and environments. This includes addressing questions regarding the region’s complex and interrelated rifting and magmatic history, as well as understanding processes of sedimentation and associated hydrothermal systems within the East African Rift System. We seek to determine the relative impacts of tectonic and climatic evolution on eastern African ecosystems. We ask the follow questions: what role (if any) did climate change play in the evolution of hominins? How can our understanding of past environmental change guide our planning for a future shaped by anthropogenic climate change?

To organize the scientific community’s goals for deep coring in the Turkana Basin, we hosted a 4 d ICDP supported workshop in Nairobi, Kenya, in July 2022. The team focused on how a 4 Myr sedimentary core from the Turkana Basin will uniquely address key scientific research objectives related to basin evolution, paleoclimate, paleoenvironment, and modern resources. Participants also discussed how DDTB could collaborate with community partners in the Turkana Basin, particularly around the themes of access to water and education. The team concluded that collecting the proposed Pliocene to modern record is best accomplished through a two-phase
drilling project with a land-based transect of four cores spanning the interval from 4 Ma to the Middle–Late Pleistocene (< 0.7 Ma) and a lake-based core targeting the interval from ~1 Ma to present. The second phase, while logistically more challenging due to the lack of drilling infrastructure currently on Lake Turkana, would revolutionize our understanding of a significant interval in the evolution and migration of *Homo sapiens* for a time period not currently accessible from the Kenyan part of the Turkana Basin. Collectively, the DDTB project will provide exceptional tectonic and climatic data directly associated with one of the world’s richest hominin fossil localities.

## 1 Introduction

Questions of who we are, where we came from, and why we are the way we are as a species are among the most fundamental of scientific enquiries. Eastern Africa is crucial for understanding the story of hominin evolution and dispersal due to the richness and extent of the archaeological and fossil records. The Turkana Basin, located in the East African Rift System (EARS) (Fig. 1), is of particular significance with more than 500 hominin fossil discoveries from the region (Wood and Leaky, 2011). Hominin evolution and dispersal has taken place against a backdrop of dramatic changes in the Earth’s climate (e.g., Bergström et al., 2021; Mounier and Mirazón Lahr, 2019), resulting in major changes to the environment in which our human ancestors were living. Links between environmental change and human evolution have long been hypothesized (e.g., Vrba et al., 1989), including specific climatic shifts and key events in human evolution, such as early human speciation patterns, brain expansion, and species dispersal (e.g., Shultz and Maslin, 2013), and major revolutions in tool making technology (e.g., deMenocal, 2011; Potts et al., 2020). However the relationship between paleoclimate, paleoenvironment, and hominin evolution and dispersal remains unclear and is a matter of ongoing debate (e.g., Faith et al., 2021). Furthermore, in settings such as the EARS, tectonic influences exert a confounding influence on the record of environmental change. The major factor impeding the exploration of links between the changing environment due to climate and tectonic influences and the existing record of hominin evolution and dispersal is the lack of continuous, high-resolution sedimentary records documenting the changing environment over long timescales, commensurate with those relevant to hominin evolution (Cohen et al., 2022; Russell et al., 2012). Through the proposed Deep Drilling in the Turkana Basin (DDTB) project, we seek to build upon the promising advances made in the past decade by other eastern African continental deep drilling projects with the ambitious goal of recovering a composite core record from 4 Ma to present for the Turkana Basin without temporal gaps. The proposed core record would address major research questions including how tectonic extension in the East African Rift System has shaped the environment and climate of the region through time, the effects of cyclic climatic changes on the environment, and how the evolution of hominins and the ecosystems surrounding them has been shaped by climatic and tectonic drivers.

The primary research goals of the project are as follows:

1. To establish a continuous high-resolution record of climate and environmental variability for the past 4 Myr in the Turkana Basin. This will allow direct comparison with trends and events recorded in the rich paleontological and archaeological record recovered from the basin.

2. To investigate linkages between tectonic evolution and magmatic development in the basin. A better understanding of the tectono-magmatic evolution in Turkana will have broad implications for our understanding of this continental rift setting.

3. To chronicle long-term evolution of the Turkana hydrographic system. This has critical implications for water development issues in the present and for the development of the Turkana hydrologic network in the past.

4. To expand our understanding of geothermal systems within the basin. This is a key area for potential development in the basin and is of significance to understanding thermal signatures in the rock and fossil record.

5. To track the dynamics of ecological systems within both lacustrine and terrestrial communities in Turkana. This will help better understand current responses to environmental change, human resource demands, and the broader pattern of long-term response to environmental drivers.

### 1.1 Tectonic evolution

Extensional tectonic processes in the Turkana Basin play a key role in driving the local topographic variations that affect the distribution of the surface and subsurface hydrology and associated ecosystems in the region. In addition to addressing questions of our own origins in the context of a changing climate, a long core retrieved from the Turkana Basin would give unique insight into the complex tectonic development, fault geometries, and hydrothermal systems within the EARS. Extension in the Turkana Basin is linked to NW–SE-trending Mesozoic–Paleogene rifts overprinted by the younger Oligocene–Miocene to Recent N–S-trending EARS.
Figure 1. Map of the Turkana Basin (TB) and its location. (a) Rift systems and highland areas in excess of 1000 m elevation. (b) East African Rift System with major lakes, key archaeological sites, and former HSPDP coring sites discussed in the text. The proposed DDTB drilling sites are marked with red circles. The blue box marks the Turkana region for which seismic reflection profile tracklines are available (Fig. 3). (c) The modern Lake Turkana catchment with hydrography and simplified geology (modified after Feibel, 2011).

Thermochronology studies in the region provide evidence for tectonic activity in the Turkana Basin that predates all other sectors of the EARS (Reeves et al., 1987; Foster and Gleadow, 1996; Torres Acosta et al., 2015; Boone et al., 2018a, b), with early volcanism dated at 39.2 Ma prior to the ∼31 Ma Ethiopia flood basalt eruptions (Rooney, 2017). Today the Turkana Basin continues to experience rifting (Knappe et al., 2020) and is a classic example of extension in a magma-rich setting, where magmatic activity has been essential in promoting and modulating continental extension and crustal thinning (Muirhead et al., 2022; Rooney et al., 2022). Border faults segment the rift into a series of linked half-graben basins, each ∼30–50 km long (Dunkelman et al., 1989). Deep sedimentary sections observed in multichannel seismic (MCS) reflection data thicken towards the border faults, and shallow Late Quaternary sediments thicken towards recently developed axial magmatic segments in the center of rift (Muirhead et al., 2022), indicating ongoing syn-rift sedimentation (Fig. 1).

The Turkana region is a tectonic anomaly within the EARS overall, in that it is a broadly rifted zone that has experienced complex episodes of rifting and magmatism spanning more than 30 Myr (Baker and Wohlenberg, 1971; Cerling and Powers, 1977; Morley et al., 1992, 1999; Haileab et al., 2004; Furman et al., 2004, 2006; Rooney, 2017). Unlike most sectors of the EARS, it is underlain by thin crust and shallow Moho and presumably high heat flow (Weidon et al., 1994), which makes it an active target for geothermal exploration (Dunkley et al., 1993). Whereas faults elsewhere in the EARS are generally steep and planar, older basins in Turkana (e.g., the Lokichar Basin) display evidence of listric and potentially detachment faulting in places (Morley et al., 1999). The length scales of proposed rift segments are also generally shorter than other areas in the EARS (Ebinger et al., 1999), possibly reflecting the comparatively thinner, warmer crust in the region (Rosendahl et al., 1992). Finally, models for mature continental rifts in the eastern branch (e.g., Ethiopia) suggest that rifting initiates on border faults, but later migrates to intra-rift structures with time (Corti, 2009; Ebinger and Casey, 2001; Keranen et al., 2004; Nutz et al., 2020). This standard model of rift evolution, however, does not apparently apply to older (>10 Ma) rift segments in Turkana,
which also lack evidence for persistent axial magmatism (e.g., Lokichar and Kerio basin segments; Morley, 2020).

However, recent investigations into fault behavior in the South Turkana Basin reveal evidence for strain focusing into intra-rift fault populations in association with developing axial magmatism beginning in the Middle to Late Pleistocene, consistent with volcano–tectonic patterns observed across the eastern branch generally (Muirhead et al., 2016; Rooney, 2020; Muirhead et al., 2022; Rooney et al., 2022). Although these observations suggest that magmatism has played a critical role in driving the distribution of faulting and development of rift toposraphy in the Turkana Basin in the Late Quaternary, the majority of earlier tectonic activity recorded in Lake Turkana (i.e., prior to 20 ka) is poorly resolved (Morrissey and Scholz, 2014; Muirhead et al., 2022), due in part to the absence of samples of the deep sedimentary section below the lake. Though reflection seismic data reveal that the history and tectonic evolution of continental rifting is likely contained within the stratigraphic sequences of the Turkana Basin (e.g., Dunkelman et al., 1989; Morley et al., 1999; Muirhead et al., 2022), analysis of drill cores, in conjunction with seismic stratigraphic analyses, will enhance and refine our understanding of the tectonic history of this rift system over the last ∼4 Myr.

1.2 Water and ecological resources

The story of water as a resource in the past and present is at the heart of the Turkana Basin record. The lake has varied in size throughout its existence, regulated by tectonics, volcanism, and climate change, which in turn combine to shape the hydrology and ecosystem functions through time. Modern Lake Turkana is the world’s largest desert lake (Ojwang et al., 2016). While the water is not potable for humans (Avery and Eng, 2012; Ojwang et al., 2016) due to a high pH (8.6–9.5) and salinity (ca. 3500 µS cm$^{-1}$), the health of this water body is essential to the ecosystems services that sustain humans today in the region. In particular, the fisheries industry has become a significant source of food and revenue for the region (Gowanari et al., 2015, and references therein).

While traditionally the indigenous people have been pastoralists (Leslie and Fry, 1989), beginning in 1961 and continuing today, Lake Turkana supports a large fishing industry born out of a need to diversify the local economy (Avery, 2010; Bayley, 1982). Overfishing and fishing of juveniles have already stressed key fish species, reducing their resiliency and making populations more vulnerable to the impacts of climate change and human disturbance, particularly in crucial breeding grounds such as Ferguson’s Gulf (Hopson, 1982; Avery, 2010; Gowanari et al., 2015). Data on the fish stocks in Lake Turkana are sparse, given the size of the lake and the scant resources available for monitoring efforts (Obiero et al., 2022). Coupling decreasing lake levels with already stressed ecosystems could decimate the fish populations many of the local communities have come to rely upon for food and income (Velpuri et al., 2012; Beck et al., 2021).

A better baseline assessment of how ecosystems have responded to changes in water and sediment input through time is necessary to assess and predict how Lake Turkana will respond to future changes in fluvial input and climate shifts. This information would help inform policy makers and draw attention to the serious issues facing the lake system today. By comparing proxy records of lake conditions, particularly ostracod assemblages, from pre- and post-onset of industrial-scale fishing in 1961 and the Gibe Dam Project construction in 1998, it is possible to evaluate the lake’s response to both natural and anthropogenic changes (Beck et al., 2021).

Groundwater resources are important in this arid to semi-arid landscape. Groundwater in some regions of the Turkana Basin has been mapped through subsurface geophysics (Gramling, 2013; Nyaberi et al., 2019) but has not yet yielded the much anticipated fresh water resources as the quality does not meet the health guidelines (Rusiniak et al., 2021; Mbugua et al., 2022). In addition, the quality of shallow groundwater in areas of settlement is impacted by anthropogenic contamination (Tanui et al., 2020). Understanding of the groundwater system in the Turkana Basin is complicated by the fact that the tectonic, environmental, and volcanic processes have created discontinuous and heterogeneous aquifers (Olaka et al., 2022). This necessitates using multiple techniques (geophysical, geochemical, and geological) to determine the factors that control groundwater quality, quantity and dynamics. Our project has the potential to contribute to a comprehensive analytical approach which will not only ensure location and sustainable management of groundwater resources for the different needs (domestic, agriculture, industrial) but also help inform the hydrogeological recharge models related to hydrothermal systems, thereby supporting the exploration of geothermal resources.

Deep drilling in the Turkana Basin will provide the archives essential for constraining regional climate controls and hydrological responses, linking the dynamics of faunal/floral communities and cultural development with environmental parameters and spanning the entire range of hominin evolution from ∼4 Ma to present, covering the full diversity of hominin/human technological (i.e., stone tool) development. A Turkana record of the past also could help the regional and global communities prepare for the challenges
that lie ahead in a future dramatically shaped by unprecedented climate change.

1.3 Geothermal resources

The intricate interplay of volcanism and tectonism in the EARS provides a suitable environment for high heat flow and fault-controlled deep fluid circulation. This is the main reason that the EARS is associated with abundant geothermal resources. Because of the early (39.2 Ma; Rooney, 2017) volcanism and the rifting that followed, the Turkana Basin is a key geothermal resource area of interest in Kenya. Five Quaternary volcanic centers occur in Turkana, including the Korath range; the North Island, Central Island, and South Islands; and the Barrier complex (Bloomer et al., 1989). The Barrier volcanic center is of particular interest to geothermal exploration due to its location and numerous geothermal manifestations including altered ground, fumaroles, caldera structures, and recent volcanic eruptions (Njau et al., 2020).

Exploration of geothermal potential is actively ongoing in the Turkana Basin as Oluswa Energy owns the geothermal exploration license in the Barrier and has begun surface exploration in the area. The present scientific deep drilling project would be of immense importance to geothermal exploration in the area in several ways. The drill cores obtained will provide high-resolution stratigraphic information of the Turkana Basin, including micro- and macro-subsurface structures. This will guide the development of a quality geothermal drilling prognosis and a better understanding of subsurface permeability through structural analysis from direct core information. The resulting boreholes could also provide important information for calculation of geothermal gradient building on the earlier regional data by Wheildon et al. (1994). In addition, water samples from the drill wells would be key in fluid chemical characterization, geothermometry, and possibly age information, further assisting in understanding the geothermal reservoirs and the areas geothermal conceptual models and hence facilitating geothermal exploration programs. Furthermore, understanding the regional structural and volcanic history is significant as the Turkana Basin is potentially the only region within the Kenya Rift where extensive basalt flows occur, and thus it could provide opportunities in other energy and environmental projects like carbon storage research. As a result, there is a natural linkage between potential scientific drilling and geothermal resource development in the Turkana Basin.

2 Rationale for drilling

The goal of obtaining a long core record linked closely to human origins in Turkana has deep roots, beginning with a US National Science Foundation (NSF) workshop in 1978 (Lewin, 1981). More recently, a continental drilling workshop held in 2011 prioritized drilling of Lake Turkana as one of the most important future targets for addressing outstanding scientific questions in eastern Africa (Russell et al., 2012). The report from this 2011 workshop concluded that future deep drilling of Turkana would integrate well with the then-planned (now successfully executed) Hominin Sites and Paleolake Drilling Project (HSPDP), which cored a short window of the Early Pleistocene lacustrine-dominated sequence in West Turkana (WTK13) (Fig. 1). In April 2018 a workshop supported by the NSF-funded Research Coordination Network (RCN) EarthRates outlined objectives for the next phase of scientific drilling and coring in the Turkana Basin. The goal of the 2018 workshop was to define potential coring targets in the Turkana Basin of interest to the broader scientific community that could contribute to the next decade of scientific discovery about the paleoclimatic and paleoenvironmental context in which our ancestors evolved. The workshop attendees consisted of 12 scientists from the USA (9 participants) and Kenya (3 participants), and an additional 13 participants joined the live stream of the workshop over the 2 workshop days. The group quickly coalesced around the idea of prioritizing recovery of the entire Plio-Pleistocene record in the Turkana Basin. The rationale for this is that the richness of the Turkana Basin fossil record provides the unique opportunity to study the paleoclimatic and paleoenvironmental context surrounding continuous occupation by hominin groups. This has implications for both physical and cultural evolution. Whilst outcrops expose short windows into the archives of the past, they are complicated by their limited temporal range for any given location and obscured through modern soil processes. Only deep drilling can capture the entire, and minimally altered, sedimentary sequence describing the climate and environmental conditions throughout the last 4 Myr of hominin evolution, migration and dispersal.

Data from existing Turkana Basin sediment cores are narrowly focused in terms of temporal scope, coming from three sources (Fig. 2):

1. The Hominin Sites and Paleolakes Drilling Project (HSPDP) drilled a 216 m record from West Turkana (WTK13), recovering an interval from 1.9–1.4 Ma (Cohen et al., 2016; Sier et al., 2017; Lupien et al., 2018, 2020). The cores showed a progression from a deep basin to a lake margin to a delta plain (Beck, 2015). The WTK core provided an unparalleled view of deposition on a dynamic lake margin, enhancing the community’s understanding of the scale of hydrologic variability (Beck et al., 2017; Feibel et al., 2017), its impact on hominin evolution (Campisano et al., 2017), and the implications of climate versus tectonic evolution for rift basins (Lupien et al., 2018). There is also thought to be a connection of lake level and enhanced/weakened Indian Ocean monsoon variability. Lupien et al. (2018) found fairly stable hydroclimate conditions responding to changes in insolation using leaf wax δD values during the Early Pleistocene, through previously described fluctuations in lake level at Lake Turkana (e.g., Lepre et
al., 2007; Morrissey and Scholz, 2014). Ultimately, the impact of this 500,000-year core record has been significant, despite its limited duration, highlighting the immense potential and feasibility of additional scientific drilling in the Turkana Basin.

2. A series of terminal Pleistocene and Holocene-aged cores have been collected from modern Lake Turkana (Halfman et al., 1994; Johnson and Malala, 2009; Morrissey and Scholz, 2014; Morrissey et al., 2018). Much past work has focused on trying to connect climate conditions to lake level. While today it is a closed basin lake, during wetter times, including the African Humid Period (Owen et al., 1982; Junginger and Trauth, 2013; Morrissey and Scholz, 2014), the lake likely overflowed at times to the Indian Ocean (>1.9 Ma; Feibel, 1994; Bruhn et al., 2011) and subsequently into the Nile drainage basin (latest Pleistocene; Johnson and Malala, 2009). Late Pleistocene and Holocene sedimentary reconstructions also show a temperature response to the end of the African Humid Period, linked to local insolation changes (Berke et al., 2012; Morrissey et al., 2018). Seismic reflection data suggest that there may have been multiple episodes of lake level draw-down and desiccation in the Quaternary (Dunkelman et al., 1989; Morrissey and Scholz, 2014; Hargrave et al., 2014), perhaps similar to Pleistocene low lake stages in other parts of Africa (e.g., Cohen et al., 2007; Scholz et al., 2007). The timing of these low stages and any relationship to those major climate episodes identified elsewhere in eastern Africa are however unknown.

3. Finally, active oil exploration has led to the drilling of numerous deep wells in the Turkana Basin. However, the target of these wells was Miocene- to Oligocene-aged sediments, and for cost reasons, these wells were not cored, so only cuttings exist. However, in 2021, team member Isaiah Nengo (deceased) facilitated a non-disclosure agreement, allowing the DDTB team access to the extensive seismic data collected between 2011 and 2014 across the basin (Fig. 3).

Ultimately, only by returning to Turkana through the proposed Deep Drilling in the Turkana Basin project can the record of climate and landscape evolution be fully resolved (Fig. 2). By targeting a continuous 4 Myr of sediment, this project will enable us to minimize the complicating factors of both spatial variability and modification by modern soil forming processes inherent in using outcrops to reconstruct change through time.

3 Turkana Basin: an unparalleled link to paleoanthropology and humans

For more than half a century the Turkana Basin has been central to our understanding of hominin evolution and cultural development in eastern Africa (Isaac and Isaac, 1997; Roche et al., 2004; Wood and Leakey, 2011). The extremely rich fossil assemblages spanning the Plio-Pleistocene include the earliest australopithecines from around 4 Ma; early examples of the Paranthropus lineage from ca. 2.5 Ma; and a diverse assemblage of early Homo fossils beginning prior to 2 Ma, including the nearly complete skeleton of Homo erectus, the Turkana Boy at 1.4 Ma. These hominin specimens are complemented by a suite of archaeological assemblages ranging from the earliest known stone tools at Lomekwi (3.3 Ma; Harmand et al., 2015); early Acheulean at Kokiselei (1.7 Ma; Lepre et al., 2011); and a wide variety of lithic traditions from Koobi Fora, the lower Omo Valley, and West Turkana. This unsurpassed record of early human development is associated with a huge collection of fossils representing the savanna community in the basin throughout the Plio-Pleistocene (Bobe, 2011). The entire record has been contextualized by complex sedimentary records from extensive outcrops (Brown and Feibel, 1991; Harris et al., 1988) and the limited coring efforts to date. Capping off the unique nature of the Turkana Basin record, the Plio-Pleistocene sequence is punctuated by abundant widespread tephra markers which can be geochemically correlated and many of which have associated radiometric dates (Brown et al., 2006). Thus...
the legacy of crucial discoveries in hominin evolution can be directly and precisely integrated with a high-resolution continuous core record and all of the signals of climatic drivers, tectonic effects, and landscape evolution we can extract from that sediment archive.

4 Workshop structure and findings

We convened a series of three events to solicit input on defining scientific priorities and strategies from the broader scientific community. With the repercussions of COVID still making travel complicated, particularly from certain countries/regions, and seeking to be as inclusive as possible even beyond those impacted by COVID restrictions, we opted to bracket our in-person workshop with online meetings. The online meetings enabled all interested applicants to initiate potential key discussions before the meeting, revise central themes right after the meeting, and also to incorporate team members who could not attend in-person, thereby expanding the participation base for DDTB.

The in-person International Continental Scientific Drilling Program (ICDP) workshop was held in Nairobi, Kenya, between 11–14 July 2022. It was attended by 38 participants from nine countries, representing 30 institutions/organizations (universities, government agencies, and private sector, etc.) and the broad variety of research foci in the community (outlined in Fig. 4a). Of this group, 52% of the participants were women, and 32% were Kenyan. We discussed the unique opportunities for cutting-edge science offered by a long, continuous sedimentary core from Turkana Basin and developed hypotheses that can be advanced and tested only through long scientific drill cores. These hypotheses focus primarily on the ICDP theme of environmental change, but the community also engaged in discussion of georesources, specifically potable water, fisheries, and geothermal energy. Logistics and potential drill sites were discussed, as were technical requirements and strategies to prepare for full drilling and scientific funding proposals. The first day of the workshop began with presentations on the evolution of the paleoenvironment, paleoclimate, tectonics, and hominins as
recorded in the Turkana Basin. The modern limnology and its relationship to ecosystems were also discussed. From there, we tasked participants with “dreaming big” (“our vision” in Fig. 4b) through a series of breakout activities to imagine what the ideal project and collaboration structure would look like from both a science and outreach perspective in order to make sure all perspectives and approaches were heard at this stage. This culminated in collecting feedback and compiling that feedback into clusters centered around emerging themes, both in terms of scientific goals but also broader impacts and project team structure (Fig. 4). The five major topics addressed by DDTB and worked on by breakout groups during the workshop were (i) basin evolution; (ii) paleoclimatic; (iii) paleoenvironment; (iv) modern systems; and (v) outreach, capacity building and education. These thematic topics and the research questions that could be addressed through the strategic acquisition of deep scientific drill cores from Turkana Basin are discussed further in the following sections.

The in-person workshop in Nairobi also included an excursion to the National Museums of Kenya where we saw and learned more about the significant paleoanthropological finds that originated from the Turkana Basin. As centering the theme of environmental change around the rich hominin fossil record and associated ecosystems from the Turkana Basin is one of the unique elements of DDTB, seeing the fossil record and associated ecosystems from the Turkana Basin is one of the unique elements of DDTB, seeing the actual fossils helped the team more fully develop the linkages between the disciplines of paleoanthropology and the geosciences.

4.1 Drilling plan

The goal of DDTB is to drill a continuous, high-resolution sedimentary record from the Pliocene to present (4–0 Ma) through strata of the Omo Group (Plio-Pleistocene) and Turkana Group (Late Quaternary). Sediment accumulation rates in the basin are spatially variable but average 15 cm kyr$^{-1}$, up to 100 cm kyr$^{-1}$, in thickened sequences on shore (Feibel, 1988) and more than 1 m kyr$^{-1}$ in offshore Late Quaternary cores (Morrisset and Scholz, 2014). We will target the thicker packages as interpreted from seismic data, while avoiding settings prone to discontinuities and sedimentary gaps. Based on comparative composite sections from outcrop (Feibel, 2011) and the newly acquired industry seismic reflection data (Fig. 3), a ~1500 m composite core length is required to recover this interval. From our DDTB scientific workshop, we developed a two-phase drilling plan to recover the sedimentary record from 4 Ma to present from the Turkana Basin. Phase 1 of DDTB is focused on the time interval from 4 to ~0.7 Ma from an on-land offset drilling transect of cores along the northwestern edge of modern Lake Turkana (Fig. 1). This transect will sample the full sedimentary section of the Turkana Basin and enable the team to apply a multi-proxy approach to reconstructing the paleoenvironment, paleovegetation, and paleoclimate during a period of great diversity in hominins. Because much of this interval is also exposed in weathered outcrops which yield fossils and archaeology, we will be able to directly tie the proposed high-resolution proxy records in with known paleoanthropological sites. This will be achieved through a sequence of four single- or double-cored ~400 m holes, positioned youngest to oldest from north to south (Fig. 1b). This transect will enable the project to recover this interval most cost-effectively by minimizing operational costs, as smaller rigs, shallower boreholes, and industry-standard coring tools will allow recovery of a complete sequence in offset locations. The project will leverage the experience from HSPDP in 2013 (Cohen et al., 2016) to design a drilling program with significantly improved likelihood of success. This approach avoids major cost increases associated with the engineering and operational requirements to drill fewer, deeper boreholes and the potential for compromised core datasets due to high geothermal gradients in this region. Long seismic reflection survey lines along structural strike provide the basis for determining the depth needed at each location to yield the overlap in core sequences for correlation and integration. The team will leverage the well-documented tephrostratigraphic record from Turkana (Brown and McDougall, 2011) and multi-proxy core scanning data to correlate this transect of cores into a synthetic record that ties tightly with the archaeological and paleoanthropological record from the Turkana Basin.

Phase 2 of DDTB targets the most recent ~1 Myr of sediment from a core drilled in modern Lake Turkana that extends to the present day (Fig. 1b) and provides a ~300 kyr overlap with the land based record from Phase 1 of DDTB to ensure a continuous record when the drill cores from both phases are combined. This record will provide a hitherto unseen look into the Turkana Basin during the interval of time from ~700–20 kyr which is rarely exposed in outcrops from the Kenyan sedimentary record (McDougall et al., 2008; Manthi et al., 2018). We know very little about what the Turkana Basin looked like (including fundamental questions like whether a lake was present) for this key corridor of hominin migration and the evolution of Homo sapiens (Cohen et al., 2022; Forster et al., 2022). A record of the past million years from Turkana will also create opportunities to incorporate this critical region into broader Afro-Syrian Rift synthesis, leveraging a wealth of data from other completed and planned ICDP projects. Phase 2 will be accomplished through drilling at one or more sites double- or triple-cored to ~400–500 m sediment depth, positioned in the Central Basin of Lake Turkana in 20–60 m water depth, using drilling tools and protocols proven in numerous past ICDP lake drilling campaigns. Both legacy and new seismic reflection data from industry, including continuous onshore-offshore lines (Fig. 3), confirm the continuity of subsurface units and provide confidence that the stratigraphy can be correlated between these locations to allow a complete sequence
to be developed most effectively through an offset drilling program.

4.2 Scientific agenda

Continental drilling for core retrieval is essential in the Turkana Basin in order to advance the state of the science, particularly to constrain the paleoenvironmental and paleoclimatic context in which our hominin ancestors survived and thrived. But a composite deep drill core located in Turkana Basin would also give insight into other key topics identified by the PI team and workshop participants, including basin evolution, tectonics, and magmatism. Through the proposed drilling plan, we seek to pursue a series of research topics and hypotheses related to the thematic breakout groups formed through the online and in-person meetings. A summary of the key take-home messages from these workshop discussions is summarized in the sections that follow.

4.2.1 Basin evolution

The basin evolution breakout group focused on how DDTB could contribute to constraining the interplay between tectonics, magmatism, and climate in continental rift systems. The Turkana Basin, with its magmatically active system and early onset of rifting, is an ideal location to integrate studies of eruptive history with sedimentary and structural archives of basin evolution. Recent modeling and field studies reveal that surface processes, including erosion and sediment and water column loading, can impact rates and styles of extensional deformation in rifts, as well as magma body inflation and deflation (Albino et al., 2010; Sterna, 2020; Egger et al., 2021; Xue et al., 2023). Utilizing only shallow sedimentary cores, critical feedbacks between the magmatic, tectonic, hydrologic, and sedimentary systems have been revealed on 1000- to 10,000-year timescales (Muirhead and Scholz, 2017); however, a rich (> 1 Myr) and first-of-its-kind history of the feedbacks between these key processes operating at continental rifts can only be obtained through a deep drilling project. Key to this story would be compiling data from both Phase 1 and Phase 2 of this project as both offer unique but independent opportunities to synthesize the proposed DDTB record with existing structural data. To achieve these objectives, the project would undertake facies analysis and provenance studies, which could be used to reconstruct basin extension, paleoenvironment evolution, and eruptive history. Results from the core would be spatially integrated using core–seismic outcrop integration, which can allow reconstruction of the temporal history of fault slip through careful mapping of displaced seismic horizons of known age (e.g., Wright et al., 2023). By comparing the interpreted fault slip history with the ~4 Myr chronology of volcanism and lake-level change revealed by the deep drilling record, we can explore how climate-driven hydrological changes in the region have influenced the structural development of the basin. Ultimately, this would enable DDTB to constrain the critical feedbacks between the tectonic, magmatic, climatic, and hydrologic processes that have driven the Plio-Pleistocene evolution of ecosystems in the Turkana Basin.

4.2.2 Paleoclimate

The paleoclimate breakout group focused on the potential scientific advances that could be achieved from a 4 Myr record from the Turkana Basin. Much of our current understanding of eastern African climate for this time comes from outcrops, providing snapshots of climate variability, and nearby marine sediment cores (deMenocal, 1995). While marine drill cores are more continuous, questions remain about how well these distal archives actually reconstruct conditions in continental settings (Cohen et al., 2016). Continuous Pliocene to present records of proxy-derived paleoclimate from eastern Africa would be the first of their kind, with resolution and continuity currently unavailable from a single region in Africa. The ability to reconstruct the regional climate for the last 4 Myr would allow us to better frame the environmental changes underway both across the landscape and within the lake itself. These records of climate variability, obtained directly for the region where the fossil record is one of the richest, would also provide context for hominin evolution. This new record would provide a continental signal of tropical climate variability from northern hemispheric eastern Africa. The proposed DDTB record could be directly compared to marine records (e.g., Castañeda et al., 2016; Taylor et al., 2021), existing southern and northern hemispheric continental paleoclimate records spanning the last ~1.4 Ma (e.g., Lake Malawi; Scholz et al., 2007; Lyons et al., 2015; Johnson et al., 2016), and/or other southeastern hemispheric continental records proposed to ICDP (e.g., ~10 Ma to modern from Lake Tanganyika; Russell et al., 2020). We will examine spatiotemporal coherence of eastern and southern African climate change through quantitative comparisons of the Turkana Basin drill cores with these other records. New sedimentary records produced through deep-drilling will span important Plio-Pleistocene global climate changes and allow us to examine how these events shaped the paleoenvironment. By examining the amount and degree of climatic variability in the region and how sensitive the Turkana Basin is to global climate events including glaciation, circulation changes, and oceanic gateway changes in a high CO₂ world, we can provide important Pliocene climate model constraints.

4.2.3 Paleoenvironment and impacts of paleoecology

An understanding of eastern African paleoecological changes during the last 4 Myr would enable the scientific community to tackle key questions on the ecological structure through time, including the tempo and mode of change.
The breakout group on paleoenvironments emphasized that this would be crucial to finally understand more about eastern African habitat transformations and how these might have been associated with the rate of evolutionary change. The unique location of Lake Turkana within the EARS would facilitate parsing the impacts of tectonic versus climatic drivers and extend the knowledge on the role that basin evolution might have played in reaching milestones in faunal evolution. Environmental reconstruction could be achieved through a multi-proxy approach including but not limited to diatoms, invertebrate fossils (ostracods, molluscs, etc.), pollen, phytoliths, paleosol-based proxies, and organic compound-specific stable isotope records, all of which have comparable records both from HSPDP and outcrop-based studies (Brown and Feibel, 1991). Although numerous significant fragments of this story are available (e.g., Yost et al., 2021), the discontinuity of these records in space and time complicates the ability to parse the impacts of climate from those of tectonic drivers on paleoenvironments and their associated ecosystems. Those gaps could finally be filled by the DDTB record, and, moreover, a continuous paleoenvironmental record from the Turkana Basin would offer the unique opportunity to directly tie in with the robust paleontological, paleoanthropological, and archaeological record in the Turkana Basin. It also creates opportunities to compare with other basins across the African continent, leveraging the work from other ICDP projects, both completed (e.g., Lake Bosumtwi (Koeberl et al., 2005), Lake Malawi (Scholz et al., 2011), and HSPDP (Cohen et al., 2016)) and proposed (e.g., Lake Tanganyika (Russell et al., 2020), Lake Victoria (Berke et al., 2024), and Afar Dallol Drilling (Foubert et al., 2021)).

4.2.4 Modern systems

The modern lake systems’ breakout group focused on the activities and changes that have recently occurred in Lake Turkana driven by anthropogenic activities on the lake and its catchments and by natural climate variability. The group recognized that a robust understanding of the modern lake system is key to understanding responses in paleo-proxy records. The modern lake is experiencing pressure from the catchment that directly impacts the hydrochemistry and water influx. This transboundary lake has its main source of inflow coming from the Ethiopian highlands via the Omo River, and thus activities such as the recent construction of hydroelectric dams (e.g., Gibe Dam series) on the Omo River perturb the annual cycle of sediments and nutrient input into the lake and flood regimes. There are a number of data gaps and unknowns within the modern systems that need monitoring, including in situ lake level and evaporation monitoring, river level and physicochemical parameter monitoring, groundwater monitoring, and monitoring of the climatic parameters (winds, solar insolation, rainfall, humidity) at different elevation within the catchments. The team is actively working to address these gaps by working in close conjunc-

4.2.5 Outreach, capacity building, and education

The workshop was structured to maximize input from all participants, regardless of their area of expertise, career stage, or role on the team. In this way all participant perspectives were heard, and their feedback was documented. Centering our working groups around emerging themes co-created with the workshop participants cultivated new interpersonal connections that resulted in a sense of shared responsibility toward broader impacts. This intentionality opened the door for discussions around the societal impact of coring and drilling work such as the critical role of water in community social structure.

With this foundation, ongoing development of strategies for outreach, education, and capacity building has been facilitated by the US Continental Scientific Drilling Facility’s Science and Outreach Coordinator, Kat Cantner, with community partners through the Turkana Basin Institute, Kenya. Access to water is essential for drilling operations. There is also a direct connection between water access and girls’ education since girls are responsible for transporting water to the household. Girls are prevented from attending school if water is not readily available since they must spend many hours supplying the home. Stigma around menstruation and lack of universal support for women’s education are also a challenge in local communities. Based on assessment of need and interest through partnership with the Turkana Basin Institute, we proposed funding outreach to communities regarding the value of girls’ education, providing sanitary products for girls (where not already covered by national programs) and sponsoring local students. The project team will co-create science content and educational activities for the Turkana Basin Institute’s student science clubs and will also generate age-appropriate material for primary-, secondary-, and university-age learners to increase awareness of geoscience career opportunities and build capacity for a stronger geoscience workforce. The international drilling campaign and subsequent research also offer opportunities to support future capacity building, particularly for Kenyan students. The implementation of well-established concepts like international
summer school provides unique synergies and networking opportunities for both experienced scientists involved and future generations of scientists and students (e.g., Wiersberg et al., 2021). The involvement of international experts with a range of expertise around scientific drilling, being both on-site for several weeks and/or in close dialogue with cooperating institutions (e.g., universities, national museum, industry), also offers a unique opportunity for interdisciplinary knowledge transfer and hands-on experience across disciplines and national borders.

5 Conclusions and recommendations

Based on the need to expand understanding of the tectonic, climatic, and biologic evolution in eastern Africa and the opportunities to leverage collaboration between research and industry (fisheries and geothermal), we recommend a two-phase drilling campaign to recover a continuous sedimentary record from the Turkana Basin extending from 4 Ma to present. We propose that Phase 1 will recover an on-shore transect of cores along the northwestern margin of Lake Turkana spanning the interval from 4 to 0.7 Ma, expanding upon the success for the WTK13 drill core drilled as part of HSPDP. Phase 2 of the DDTB project will focus on the record from 1 Ma to modern, and targeting the Central Basin of Lake Turkana. DDTB will leverage existing outcrop research from the Turkana Basin and regional lacustrine cores. When combined, the two phases of this unprecedented record will enable researchers to parse the relative impacts of tectonics, volcanism, and climate on biological and ecological evolution, including the physical and cultural origins of our own ancestors.
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